UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,858 | 10/12/2005 | Johannes Ludescher | 33166A | 2512 |
| 84983 7590 02/02/2010 SandozAG (Austria)- LUEDEKA, NEELY & GRAHAM, P.C. P.O.BOX 1871 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BERCH, MARK L | |
| Knoxville, TN 37901 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/02/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 10/552,858 | LUDESCHER ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mark L. Berch | 1624 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| · · | VIQ SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH | (S) OD THIDTY (20) DAYS |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second se | s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5,7-9,20 and 21 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 7 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,8,9,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the correct of the option of the o | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Prity documents have been receiven In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No red in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | _ | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date |

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/11/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With the amendment made to claim 20, claim 9 no longer makes any sense. The claim is drawn to the isolation step, which appears as the last two words of claim 20. It calls for removing any bromide. However, claim 20 has been amended so that any salt, which would include bromide salt, has already been removed. How could bromide be removed when its already been removed?

Art Unit: 1624

Second, the wording of "addition ... from" in the last two lines is unclear. This is not standard chemical wording. If applicants intend "addition ... in", that would mean that the HCl added is itself in an aqueous acetonic solution. If applicants intend "addition ... to", that would mean that the HCl added is in any form at all, so that the acetonic solution refers only to the solvent for the Formula I material. It is ambiguous what is intended.

Claims 3-4, 8-9 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The way claims 20 and 21 have been rewritten, the HCl addition step is optional, and the salt removal step is required, the opposite of what appeared originally. Making the salt removal required is not problematic. However, making the HCl step optional is a problem because the specification says it is required (hence, lack of description). Further, the claim requires it as well. Note that the final product has, in effect, m=2, while V has m=1. If no HCl is added, where will the second molecule of HCl come from? (hence lack of enablement)

Applicants' attention is called to 7339055 and 7479556. Applicants may wish to comment on whether or not applicants believe that there is interfering subject matter claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Berch whose telephone number is 571-272-0663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571)272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark L. Berch/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624 Page 4

2/1/2010